UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,580	01/12/2007	Randal L. Wimberly		8025
RANDAL L. W	7590 02/19/200 'IMBERLY	EXAMINER		
905 TYREE ROAD			NEILS, PEGGY A	
PADUCAH, KY 42003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2885	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/577,580	WIMBERLY, RANDAL L.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		PEGGY A. NEILS	2885				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- treply received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror the, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	December 2008					
-							
3)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
J)	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1999 O.B. 11, 4	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>18-23</u> is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examir	oor					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Evaminor				
10)	- 1 1						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Infor	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/2008.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1- 17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Johanson.

Johanson shows a lighting device which includes a first parabolic reflector 40 defining a first depth and a second parabolic reflector 50 defining a second depth. The focal points for each of the reflectors are coincident and the light source is located on the focal points (see paragraph 0032, discussion on Figure 50). Figure 18 shows an end view of an illumination tube. This shows a circular opening for the tube. Also paragraph 0027 sets forth that the reflector tubes used in the invention are circular in cross-section. Reflector 40 includes an aperture which receives the light source 60. As shown in figure 5, the depth of the first reflector 40 is greater than the depth of reflector 50.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,580 Page 3

Art Unit: 2885

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5 and 7-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johanson in view of Lyons et al.

Johanson shows an arc lamp in the lighting system. Lyons et al teaches that it is known in the art to use a filament lamp 12 (see Figure 2) with a parabolic reflecting system. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that Johanson could be modified to use a filament lamp in the lighting system in the same manner as taught by Lyons et al as both references are directed to lighting systems using parabolic reflectors and Johanson does not disclose the type of lamp used as being critical. As shown in Johanson the two apertures of the first reflector 40 are in alignment with each other along the longitudinal axis of the reflector as is the opening/aperture of the second reflector 50.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18-23 are allowed for the reasons set forth in the last Office action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cheng, Ebiko and Tsuji et al are cited of interest.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,580 Page 4

Art Unit: 2885

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Examiner Neils at (571) 272-2377 on a Monday, Tuesday or Thursday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jong (James) Lee can be reached on (571) 272-7044.

PAN

/Stephen F. Husar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2875